Blob Transport in the Tokamak Scrape-off-Layer

D. A. D'Ippolito, J. R. Myra, and D. A. Russell

Lodestar Research Corporation, Boulder, Colorado

S. I. Krasheninnikov, G. Q. Yu, and A.Yu. Pigarov

University of California, San Diego, California

Acknowledgements

G. Antar, J. Boedo, R. Macqueda, G. McKee,W. Nevins, D. Stotler, D. RudakovJ. Terry, X. Xu, S. Zweben

Presented at the 9th International Workshop on Plasma Edge Theory in Fusion Devices, San Diego, California, September 3-5, 2003

Motivation and Introduction

• growing experimental evidence that intermittent radial transport in the SOL can be explained by coherent, propagating structures ("blobs").

- two-scale structure of SOL density profiles; non-diffusive in the far SOL (C-MOD, DIII-D, AUG)
- wall recycling dominates particle fueling in C-MOD
 - \Rightarrow importance of convective transport in far SOL
- diagnostic imaging & probe data on C-MOD, DIII-D, NSTX, Tore Supra, MAST, and PISCES

(Zweben, J. Terry, Maqueda, Antar, Boedo, Rudakov, McKee, Labombard, Endler...)

- o turbulence \Rightarrow propagating coherent structures
- o responsible for large fraction of SOL transport
- non-Gaussian statistics similar on all machines (Antar, Boedo)
- o correlated with ELMs (Boedo, Endler)

• simple theoretical models of blob propagation and decay exhibit some of the qualitative features seen in the experiments.

Krasheninnikov, Phys. Lett. A, **283**, 368 (2001) D'Ippolito, Myra, Krasheninnikov, Phys. Plasmas **9**, 222 (2002)

• recent simulations extend these models to include blob dynamics and interaction with the background plasma.

UEDGE simulations of DIII-D provide evidence of convective transport

 \bullet include time-independent cross-field convective velocity $V_{conv}\,in\,2D$ edge transport code UEDGE

• analyze a series of DIII-D L-mode discharges having the same NBI input power but different average densities

 \blacktriangleright need outwards V_{conv} to match experimental profiles

> V_{conv} increases with density



A. Pigarov et al., J. Nucl. Mater. **313-316**, 1076 (2003)
S. Krasheninnikov et al., IAEA Conf. 2002, paper TH/4-1.

Gas Puff & BES imaging shows blobs



BES data on
DIII-DBES data
5cm x 6 cm
1µs resolution
G. McKee, UW(Boedo, APS
2002)PoloidalRadial

Blobs are localized $\perp \mathbf{B}$, but extended along **B** field lines.

Probe signals on DIII-D show intermittent transport in L- and H-modes due to blobs

• conditionally-averaged signal shows *intermittent* events with *fast-rise and slow decay* in density and fluxes

- E_{θ} correlated with density and flux pulses
- blobs produced in all regimes (*also by ELMs*)



D. Rudakov, J. Boedo, R. Moyer et al., PPCF 44, 717 (2002)

Blob transport similar on different machines; statistics are non-Gaussian

Ion saturation current shows similar intermittency on several machines (toroidal and linear)



- large events are blobs propagating outwards
- PDF of I_{sat} is skewed towards positive events (non-Gaussian)
- PDF is similar on different machines



G. Antar et al., Phys. Plasmas 10, 419 (2003)

Characteristic Time Scales

• Estimate blob time scales for typical tokamak parameters

blob radius: a = 2 cmdistance to wall: w = 10 cmblob velocity: $u_x = 10^5 \text{ cm/s} = 1 \text{ km/s}$ connection length: $L_{\parallel} = 600 \text{ cm}$

$$\Rightarrow \tau_{\parallel} = L_{\parallel} / c_s = 200 \ \mu s$$

$$\tau_w = w / u_x = 100 \ \mu s$$

$$\tau_c = a / u_x = 20 \ \mu s$$

• Notes:

 $ightarrow \tau_w/\tau_{\parallel} \sim 1/2 \implies$ flattened profiles with plasma at wall

> τ_c is comparable to the experimentally-measured autocorrelation times (~ 10 - 30 µs)

Motivation and Introduction

• growing experimental evidence that intermittent radial transport in the SOL can be explained by coherent, propagating structures ("blobs").

- two-scale structure of SOL density profiles; non-diffusive in the far SOL (C-MOD, DIII-D, AUG)
- wall recycling dominates particle fueling in C-MOD
 - \Rightarrow importance of convective transport in far SOL
- diagnostic imaging & probe data on C-MOD, DIII-D, NSTX, Tore Supra, MAST, and PISCES

(Zweben, J. Terry, Maqueda, Antar, Boedo, Rudakov, McKee, Labombard, Endler...)

- o turbulence \Rightarrow propagating coherent structures
- o responsible for large fraction of SOL transport
- o non-Gaussian statistics similar on all machines (Antar, Boedo)
- o correlated with ELMs (Boedo, Endler)

• simple theoretical models of blob propagation and decay exhibit some of the qualitative features seen in the experiments.

Krasheninnikov, Phys. Lett. A, **283**, 368 (2001) D'Ippolito, Myra, Krasheninnikov, Phys. Plasmas **9**, 222 (2002)

• recent simulations extend these models to include blob dynamics and interaction with the background plasma.

Outline of Talk

• Analytic blob model

- Secondary instabilities and effect of background profiles
 - ➤ "essential stability"
 - 2D simulation results for curvature and velocityshear instabilities
 - ➢ rotational instabilities & ELMs
- Other topics
 - Blob statistics
 - Diagnostics for blobs in 3D codes
 - Analysis of NSTX GPI blob data
- Assessment of blob model
- Summary and conclusions

Scope:

- (1) focus on theory
- (2) blob stability and transport (not turbulent origin of blobs)

Blobs move due to radial force

- > net species-summed radial force F_x ($\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{F} \neq 0$) (curvature, centrifugal, neutral wind...)
- \succ axial B field \Rightarrow species-dependent $\mathbf{F} \times \mathbf{B}$ drift
- \triangleright sheath or plasma resistivity \Rightarrow charge polarization



• velocity u_x of blob depends on blob radius a

$$n(r) = n_b \exp\left[-\left(r^2/2a^2\right)\right] + n_f$$
$$n_f = 0 \implies u_x = q/a^2 \text{ where } q = L_{\parallel}/R$$

- density *blobs* move out to wall $(n_b > 0)$,
- density *holes* move in towards core $(n_f \neq 0, n_b < 0)$

dasd-PET 2003

Profiles depend on the blob size distribution

Ensemble average over power law distribution

 $f(a) = a^{-p}$, a = blob radius



Small blobs travel faster and penetrate farther than large blobs

Outline

- Analytic blob model
- Secondary instabilities and effect of background profiles
 - ➤ "essential stability"
 - 2D simulation results for curvature and velocityshear instabilities
 - ➤ rotational instabilities & ELMs*
- Other topics
 - ➢ Blob statistics*
 - Diagnostics for blobs in 3D codes*
 - Analysis of NSTX GPI blob data*
- Assessment of blob model
- Summary and conclusions

*work in progress

Study "secondary instabilities" of blobs

• Use far SOL model (T = const) described by the following equations (T = const)

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \nabla_{\perp}^{2} \boldsymbol{\varphi} + v \nabla_{\perp}^{2} \boldsymbol{\varphi} = \alpha \boldsymbol{\varphi} - \frac{\beta}{n} \nabla_{y} n$$
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}n}{\mathrm{d}t} = \mathbf{D} \nabla_{\perp}^{2} n - \alpha n$$
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v \cdot \nabla = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{b} \times \nabla \boldsymbol{\varphi} \cdot \nabla$$

 $\alpha = (2\rho_s/L_{\parallel}) =$ sheath parameter $\beta = (2\rho_s/R) =$ curvature parameter $\nu =$ viscosity, D = diffusion

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{dimensionless:} \ \ \Omega_{i} \ dt \rightarrow dt, \ \rho_{s} \nabla \rightarrow \nabla, \\ e \Phi/T_{es} \rightarrow \Phi, \ v/c_{s} \rightarrow v, \ etc. \end{array}$

• Secondary "sheath-interchange" (SI) instability driven by internal blob pressure profile affects the radial velocity:

 \triangleright same force drives motion and instability (∇B)

SI instability $[\gamma \propto k_y^2 (\beta/\alpha L_{nx})]$ breaks up blobs

➤ smaller fragments move faster, increasing transport

(The global SOL version of this instability was studied by Nedospasov 1989, Garbet et al. 1991, Endler et al. 1995.)

Competition between stability and transport determines maximum blob size

- linear growth rate γ for 1D "poloidally-elongated blob" ($y_b >> x_b$)
- transport rates for blob of radius $a = x_b$

diffusion rate $\gamma_d = D/a^2 \ll$ other rates convection rate $\gamma_c = u_x / a = q/a^3$

transport rate to wall $\gamma_{\rm w} = u_{\rm x} / \Delta x$, let $\Delta x \approx 10a$



D'Ippolito and Myra, Phys. Plasmas (2003)

 \succ "essential stability" for $\gamma < \gamma_w \implies a < a_1$

- lower bound on u_x : $u_x > q/a_1^2$
- $a_2/u_x \Rightarrow$ maximum expected autocorrelation time

Х

Nonlinear instability breaks up blobs

• 2D simulations \Rightarrow nonlinear evolution of instability can cause blob to bifurcate



D'Ippolito and Myra, Phys. Plasmas (2003)

 t/τ_c : (a) 0, (b) 6, (c) 9, and (d) 12; $n_f = 0.01$, D = 0.005, and $a_s = 7$. neglect inertia in vorticity eq.

- characteristic blob deformation before bifurcating
- also observed by G. Q. Yu et al. Phys. Plasmas (2003)



The blob equilibrium, stability and transport depends on the blob height above the background. Effect of background density on blobs (2)

• Simulations show

 \blacktriangleright strong effect of background on radial velocity u_x



 \triangleright dependence of u_x on blob size:

D'Ippolito and Myra, Phys. Plasmas 2003

- blob slows down before bifurcation and speeds up afterwards (consistent with analytic model)
- blob accelerates down the SOL density profile

Effect of background density on blobs (3)

• blob in vacuum \Rightarrow uniform u_x blob on background \Rightarrow vortex flow pattern



 t/τ_c

Small blobs are unstable to Kelvin-Helmholtz instability





a = 0.9 cm



Yu and Krasheninnikov, Phys. Plasmas (2003)

[Blob steepening and K-H also studied by Bian *et al.*, (2003), and in ionospheric context by Guzdar et al., (1998)]

Density dips and impurity transport

Evolution of density dip with a = 1.2 cm, small D = 0.01



Yu and Krasheninnikov, Phys. Plasmas (2003)

- density dips /holes propagate *inwards* from wall to core
- provides mechanism for enhanced impurity transport
- dips are unstable to secondary K-H instability

ELMs, Blobs and Rotational Instabilities

- ELMs ⇒ density and temperature pulses propagating to the wall (JET, AUG and DIII-D)
- Pulses have blob-like behavior (outwards convection, steep leading edge, etc.) but have more *fine structure*.
- Is fine structure due to rotational instabilities?



J. Boedo et al., submitted to Phys. Plasmas (2003)

Blob rotation

Blob theory needs to be generalized to include temperature and Bohm sheath potential ($\Phi \approx 3T_e$) effects on blob dynamics

 \succ background T(x) \Rightarrow poloidal drift

 \succ internal T(r) \Rightarrow blob rotation

Blob rotation can drive rotational instabilities [*see D'Ippolito et al., APS-DPP (2003)*]

• centrally-peaked $T(r) \Rightarrow E_r > 0$ \Rightarrow centrifugal and Coriolis terms are destabilizing

(Freidberg and Pearlstein, Phys. Fluids 1978)

• rotational growth rate $\gamma_{\rm R} \sim 1/a^2$ exceeds $\gamma_{\rm K-H} \sim 1/a^3$ for a >> 1 and $\gamma_{\rm SI} \sim m^2/a^2$ for mode number $m < m_{\rm crit} \sim a^{1/2}$ [shaded region below]:



Outline

- Analytic blob model
- Secondary instabilities and effect of background profiles
 - ➤ "essential stability"
 - 2D simulation results for curvature and velocityshear instabilities
 - ➤ rotational instabilities & ELMs*
- Other topics
 - Blob statistics*
 - Diagnostics for blobs in 3D codes*
 - > Analysis of NSTX GPI blob data*
- Assessment of blob model
- Summary and conclusions

*work in progress

Blob transport leads to non-Gaussian statistics

[see Myra et al., APS-DPP(2003)]

• analytic model of periodic blob train passing a probe:

$$n(t) = n_0 \exp\left[\xi\left(\sin\frac{2\pi t}{\tau} - 1\right)\right]$$

$$n(t)/n_0 \text{ for } \xi = 1$$

$$n(t)/n_0 \text{ for } \xi = 1$$

$$n(t)/n_0 \text{ for } \xi = 100$$

$$n(t)/n_0 \text{ for } \xi = 100$$

$$n(t)/n_0 \text{ for } \xi = 100$$

• specific predictions about density statistics as $\xi\uparrow$: (mean \downarrow , skewness \uparrow but standard deviation σ is not monotonic in ξ). NSTX GPI data shows similar behavior:



dasd – PET 2003

-5

0

0

Using GKV to diagnose blobs in BOUT simulations

D.A. Russell, J.R. Myra and D.A. D' Ippolito (Lodestar) W.M. Nevins and X. Xu (LLNL)

[see Russell et al., APS-DPP (2003)]



Comparison of NSTX turbulence data with blob theory: extracting n_e,T_e from GPI intensity

see Stotler et al. (this meeting) Myra et al. (APS/DPP 2003, Albuquerque) $I = n_0 F(n_e, T_e)$

Gas Puff Imaging data from S. Zweben and R. Maqueda



cuts across the frames: equilibrium dashed, blobby solid

Assessment of the Blob Model

Some *qualitative* features of the experiments emerge naturally from the analytic blob model and the corresponding numerical simulations:

- *robust* mechanism for convective plasma transport
- two scale density and flux profiles
- faster decay of T(x) than n(x)
- critical particle flux for ionization-sustained equilibria
- mechanism for inward transport of impurities
- shape of propagating pulses
- intermittency and non-Gaussian statistics
- possible mechanisms for the density limit and for fine structure in ELMs?

A more *quantitative* comparison with experiment requires further development of both the theory and the measurements:

- include T(x,y), sheared v_x and v_y , and rotation in 2D simulation codes
- include blob variation along B (at high density)
- use 3D turbulence codes (e.g. BOUT) to study blob formation; develop new code diagnostics for blobs
- *dedicated experiments in SOL transport:* coordinate complementary diagnostics to simultaneously measure all parameters needed for theory

Summary

- Blob transport provides a robust mechanism for explaining the observed intermittency and radial transport in the far SOL.
- Blob motion is driven by a net radial force giving species-dependent drifts:
 - o grad-B due to toroidal curvature (tokamaks)
 - o centrifugal force (linear machines like PISCES)
 - o neutral forces, e.g. "neutral wind" in LAPD [S. Krasheninnikov, PoP 2003]
- Radial convective flux of plasma depends on
 - o blob size distribution
 - o blob height above background density
 - o ionization of neutrals (not discussed here)
- SOL convective transport has important implications for tokamaks
 - o "main chamber recycling regime" ⇒ reduced divertor efficiency (Umansky et al., 1999; Pigarov et al., 2002)
 - may be related to the density limit on C-MOD (Greenwald, 2001; Xu, 2002; Myra et al., 2002)

Supplementary slides (background material)

Effect of plasma resistivity on blob motion

• Sheath conductivity term ($\propto \alpha$) + curvature drift term ($\propto \beta$) balance in the vorticity equation to give the blob potential induced by charge polarization

$$\phi = \frac{\beta}{\alpha n} \nabla_{y} n = \frac{L_{\parallel}}{R n} \nabla_{y} n$$

• Plasma resistivity $\eta = m_e v_{ei}/ne^2$ enhances the blob potential and increases its radial velocity

$$\phi \rightarrow \left(1 + \frac{m_e L_{\parallel} v_{ei}}{m_i c_s}\right) \frac{L_{\parallel}}{R n} \nabla_y n$$

• Thus, plasma resistivity allows blob motion *inside* the separatrix and adds to sheath resistivity in SOL.

• Is plasma resistivity in SOL related to the density limit? (Xu, 2002)

Blob propagation due to "neutral wind"

S. Krasheninnikov and S. Smolyakov, to be published in Phys. Plasmas, 2003

Outwards radial force due to imbalance between the friction of the *fast neutrals* from the core and the *slow neutrals* from the wall \Rightarrow radial blob motion.



Force:

$$\mathbf{F}_{Ni} = \mu_{Ni} n \left\{ (N\mathbf{V})_{fast} K_{fast} + (N\mathbf{V})_{slow} K_{slow} \right\}$$

(K = neutral-ion collision rate)

Resulting outwards velocity:

$$v_x \propto NV_{fast} \left(\frac{K_{fast} - K_{slow}}{\Omega_i} \right) \frac{L_{\parallel}}{y_b^2}$$

Estimate $v_x \approx 10^5$ cm/s for LAPD parameters in agreement with experiment.

Stability and transport boundaries vs normalized D and v

If the inertial term in the vorticity equation is negligible, the blob radius a can be scaled out of the equations; the equations are invariant under the transformation:

$$D \rightarrow a D$$
, $a_s \rightarrow a_s/a$, $\gamma \rightarrow \gamma a^3$,
 $\phi \rightarrow a \phi$, $n \rightarrow n$



Physics and scaling of K-H instability

• Kelvin-Helmholtz instability is driven by velocity shear in vorticity inertial term

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}} \nabla^2 \varphi = 0 \implies \gamma_{\mathrm{KH}} \sim k_{\mathrm{x}} v_{\mathrm{x}} \leq \frac{v_{\mathrm{x}}}{L_{\mathrm{y}}}$$

• Blob flow pattern with velocity shear requires substantial background density.

• Compare scaling of K-H growth rate with that of sheath-interchange mode

$$\gamma_{\rm KH} \sim \frac{{\rm v_x}}{{\rm L_y}} \sim \frac{1}{{\rm y_b}^3} , \quad \gamma_{\rm SI} \sim \frac{{\rm k_y}^2}{{\rm L_x}} \sim \frac{1}{{\rm x_b}^3}$$

• Note that γ_{KH} and γ_{SI} have opposite dependences on blob shape

 $\succ \gamma_{KH}$ larger when $x_b >> y_b$

 $\succ \gamma_{SI}$ larger when $y_b >> x_b$

Reduction in blob velocity by K-H instability

2D Simulations by Yu *et al.* (2002) show that the radial blob velocity V_b *decreases* for small blobs due to the Kelvin-Helmholtz instability:







(Notation: $V_b = u_x$, $\delta = a$)