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Introduction

- Heating and current drive with ICRF waves works well in many experiments, but unwanted rf-edge interactions remain a problem; these must be controlled for use of ICRF in long-pulse operation (ITER and beyond).

- Coupling MW of power to the edge of a tokamak plasma is a challenging task
  - complicated geometry and wave physics
  - nonlinear interactions, e.g. rf sheaths

- **Rf sheaths** impact
  - functioning and survivability of antennas, walls, and divertors
  - heating efficiency
  - impurity concentration of edge and core plasma
Physics of rf coupling ⇒ rf sheaths

- ICRF antennas are intended to launch fast waves (FW) with rf $\tilde{E}_\parallel = 0$

- Various mechanisms give parasitic coupling to slow waves (SW) with $\tilde{E}_\parallel \neq 0$
  - magnetic field line not aligned properly with antenna
  - electrostatic coupling / feeder and corner effects
  - wave propagation along field lines in SOL to walls
  - poor single pass absorption $\Rightarrow$ waves at far wall
  - FW cannot satisfy BC at wall $\Rightarrow$ local coupling to SW

- $E_\parallel$ accelerates electrons out of plasma; a (large) dc sheath potential develops to preserve ambipolarity

$$\Phi_{dc} \propto \Phi_{rf} = \int ds \tilde{E}_\parallel >> 3 T_e \text{ (Bohm)}$$
RF sheath effects in ICRF experiments

- rf specific effects
  - impurities (RF-enhanced sputtering)
  - rapid density rise
  - arcs and antenna damage (hot spots)
  - missing rf power
  - convective cells in SOL (increased particle flux to wall)

- implications for long-pulse operation (Tore Supra, LHD, ITER)

JET, Bures et al. (1991)
Experimental evidence

hot spots on Tore Supra antenna

Ni impurity sputtered from JET antenna (Bures, NF 1990)

rf sheath interaction with Faraday screen follows field line on C-MOD
(Wukitch, PPCF 2004)

(L. Colas, 2005)
Large plasma potential (100 – 400 V) measured at top of outer divertor on C-Mod

- on field lines that map to antenna
- note: driven by antenna but appears at divertor several meters from antenna

Wukitch IAEA 2006
Proposed work: integrated effort to solve coupled physics issues

- We have developed many models in the past 25 years which give **qualitative agreement** with experiments on JET, TFTR, C-Mod, ASDEX-U, Tore Supra, TEXTOR, etc.

- **Quantitative predictions** of sheath interactions are still not available, partly because of technical issues, partly because of needed input from other areas, e.g. sputtering yield

\[
\Gamma_0 A_S = \frac{Y(E, \theta) n v_i \cdot A_S}{1 - f_{SS}}
\]

rf sheath physics  \quad \text{geometry}  \quad \text{rf convection, turbulent (blob)}

transport, local ionization, recycling

ionization (modified by intermittent density?)
The SOL couples physics in several areas:

- RF physics (linear wave propagation, nonlinear sheath)
- SOL turbulence (intermittent transport)
- Surface and atomic physics (plasma – wall interactions)

Describe the present status and future directions of the rf area and some remarks about other areas.
Important caveat:

- The rf community (e.g. RF SciDAC project) is developing sophisticated codes for antenna coupling, linear wave propagation and quasilinear heating, nonlinear effects (rf generation of sheared flows) etc.
- There is also a growing effort on modeling sheath effects (analytically and numerically) but this work is not as developed yet.
- Here we are not going to discuss what the rf codes do well, but what they lack!

NSTX

Jaeger, RF Conf. 2007
RF physics for plasma-wall interactions

- spatial distribution of the rf wave energy, rf sheaths and rf-enhanced sputtering energy
  - requires better treatment of SOL plasma and boundary in the wave codes, better treatment of sheaths, and better coupling of turbulence and transport codes
  - new approach: sheath BC for rf codes

- sheath power dissipation
  - local power density ⇒ hot spots
  - integrated over all surfaces ⇒ “missing power”, heating efficiency

- interaction between rf waves and turbulence in SOL
  - SW propagation through intermittent (spiky) density field
  - rf effects on SOL turbulence and blobs
Preliminary modeling of rf + turbulence

- Preliminary work on studying interaction between
  - blobs (Lodestar 2D SOLT code)
  - rf-driven convective cells for a simplified model antenna-sheath pattern

(D’Ippolito et al., RF Conf. 2005)
Sheath BC  (D’Ippolito and Myra PoP 2006, Myra et al., PoP 1994)

normal component of B into wall ⇒ electron losses ⇒ sheath

**sheath BC**: normal component of D continuous across vacuum sheath-plasma interface implies
\[
E_t = \nabla_t (\Delta D_n)
\]

\(\Delta = \) sheath width, must satisfy Child-Langmuir constraint ⇒ **nonlinear BC**

- We have used this BC (with nonlinear solution to CL constraint) to obtain **analytic solutions** for sheath potential in various geometries.
- Can give **local solution** for sheath potential in rf codes using nonlinear iteration or rootfinder
RF sheath topography

Far-field sheaths

(divertor or distant limiter)

B

Far-field sheaths

SW (resonance cone)

Core plasma with low single pass absorption

FW + SW

Surface modes (FW)

(Myra et al. PoP 1994)

(at far wall)
status: near field (antenna) sheaths

model of 24-strap ITER-like antenna for TOPICA antenna code

(Maggiora et al., 2008)

• **TOPICA calculations** (U of Turin) use detailed antenna geometry, match to plasma impedance

• use vacuum fields and vacuum sheath approximation

• work has begun to implement the sheath BC (Van Compernolle, 2008)

• difficult to have plasma at antenna

Recent **analytic antenna sheath calculation** using the sheath BC has derived corrections to the vacuum sheath approximation.

(D’Ippolito and Myra, PoP 2009)
status: far field sheaths

- **unabsorbed FW or surface wave**: reaching the far wall and generating SW / sheaths due to B field mismatch with the wall
  - early numerical simulation for model geometry (Myra et al., PoP 1994)
  - recent analytic (1D wave scattering) model using the sheath BC to locally solve for sheath potential (D’Ippolito and Myra, PoP 2008)
  - 2D wave codes not yet able to treat this problem (lack realistic SOL geometry, sheath BC not implemented)

- **SW resonance cones**: SW launched by antenna in low density SOL and propagates to distant limiters / divertor (e.g. C-Mod?)
  - dispersion relation
    \[ n_{\perp}^2 = -\epsilon_{||} n_{||}^2 \]
    where \( n_{||} \gg 1 \)
  - recent analytic calculation of SW scattering off sheath (using the sheath BC) calculates the fraction of antenna voltage transferred to distant boundary (Myra and D’Ippolito, PRL 2008)
Antenna launches slow-waves which propagate as resonance cones to limiter ⇒ enhanced sheaths


sheath voltage shows a threshold in $\Lambda_0$

provides a candidate explanation of sheaths on C-Mod observed far from the antenna
status: rf modeling of SOL

- quantitative estimates require a new kind of code for modeling rf wave propagation and sheath formation in the SOL
  - accurate description of SOL geometry (B field, antenna and wall geometry, density profiles, etc.)
  - rf wave solver
  - resolve electron space scales ($\sim c/\omega_{pe}$)
  - nonlinear rf sheath BC

- work has begun at MIT in collaboration with Lodestar to develop such a code as part of the rf SciDAC initiative.
  (Kohno, Bonoli, Wright, Freidberg, Myra and D’Ippolito, 2009)
Role of turbulence

- need quantitative estimates of particle fluxes into antenna and wall
  - $n \uparrow$ for good antenna coupling
  - particle flux $\downarrow$ to minimize sheath effects
  - far SOL fluxes are not well known: blob transport, particle sources, and rf convection are important
    - e.g. ITER team varies fluxes by $10^2$ in antenna sheath assessments $\Rightarrow$ large sensitivity (failure vs success!)
- code integration needed to study trade-off between good coupling and acceptable sheath effects in ITER
- need to calculate intermittent fluxes as well as time-averaged ones
  - note that $<f(Q)> \neq f(<Q>)$ for any nonlinear f, e.g. $Q = \text{ionization}$
Atomic and wall physics

- Self-sputtering of high-Z materials is enhanced by a large rf sheath potential.

Calculated impurity influx from JET FS for various materials

(D’Ippolito et al., PPCF 1991)

- For fixed average density, intermittency can reduce or enhance the self-sputtering yield of high-Z impurities

(D’Ippolito and Myra, PoP 2008)
Integrated modeling

- integrated modeling including rf sheath interactions is needed for hardware design (antennas, first wall), scenario development, and interpretation of experimental results. → needed for ITER.

- “grand vision” for long-term research: integrate physics of edge (turbulence, transport, atomic physics), rf (antenna coupling, SOL wave propagation, sheaths), and wall physics (sputtering, recycling).

- this capability would provide
  - self-consistent characterization of SOL plasma
  - antenna loading and heating efficiency
  - sheath effects (power dissipation, sputtering)
  - more accurate estimate of wall lifetime
Available tools for this project

- analytic sheath models  
  (Lodestar)

- rf antenna codes
  - 2D  
  - 3D  
  (ORNL)  
  (U of Torino, ORNL)

- rf wave propagation codes
  - frequency domain  
  - time domain  
  - need sheath BC or matching to SOL wave code  
  (ORNL, MIT)  
  (TechX)

- SOL rf wave code
  - under development at MIT  
  (MIT-Lodestar)

- SOL turbulence codes
  - 2D  
  - 3D  
  - 5D codes under development  
  (Lodestar)  
  (LLNL)  
  (LLNL, NYU)

- edge plasma transport codes  
  (LLNL)

- sputtering and impurity transport codes